

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
ATTEICATION NO.	TENO DATE	TIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.	
10/796,746	03/09/2004	Tomohiro Ishikawa	86740AEK	2522	
7590 10/13/2006 Paul A. Leipold			EXAMINER		
			QI, ZHI QIANG		
Patent Legal St	aff				
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street	t	2871			
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12/	
. 4//	
10	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,746	ISHIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Qi	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	<u>igust 2006</u> .					
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,7,9-11,14-16,18,19,28,30 and 32-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8,12,13,17,20-27,29,31,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attack as and a						
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 12-13, 17, 20-27, 29, 31, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,504,603 (Winker et al) in view of US 2004/0184150 A1 (Johnson et al) and US 2004/0051831 A1 (Yu et al).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the X-layers have a property of O-plate and the Z-layer has a property of negative C-plate with larger negative Δn_{th} than -0.005 according to the specification (see paragraph 0039 of the specification).

Winker teaches (col.4, line 2-col.5, line 65; Figs.1-2, 8) that a liquid crystal cell using one or more optical compensating elements (multilayer optical compensation film) comprising O-plate (X-layer) (positive birefringent material) (see col.7, line 58 – col.8, line 5) and negative C-plate (Z-layer) (see col.4, lines 39-58), and the O-plate has its optic axis tilted with respect to the plane of the multilayer compensation film (oblique angle with respect to the plane of the display, see col.7, lines 61-65).

Winker does not explicitly show the layer Z satisfies two relations:

- 1) | nx-ny | < 0.001;
- 2) $\Delta n_{th} = nz (nx + ny)/2 < -0.005$;

Art Unit: 2871

but it would have been obvious as the property of such amorphous polymer C-plate.

As evidence, Johnson teaches that in order to improve the viewing angle characteristics of the display using polymeric optical film as optical compensators (see paragraphs 0007, 0008), in which C-plate such as in Fig.1, nx = ny, so that |nx-ny| = 0 that would be less than 0.001 (see paragraph 0023); and an absolute value of an out-of-plane retardation of 55nm or greater, and the layer has a thickness of $10\mu m$ to $50\mu m$ (see paragraph 0011). Because the term "out-of-plane retardation" refers to the product of the birefringence (the difference of the index of refraction) times the thickness of the layer (see paragraph 0034), so that the out of-plane birefringence Δn_{th} would be the range of 0.0011 to 0.0055, and such negative value range would overlap the value less than -0.005.

In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. (see MPEP 2144.05 l.)

Johnson further teaches that any polymeric material capable possessing the optical properties as optical film (compensation film) and also forming a non-crystalline (amorphous polymer) (see paragraphs 0043-0047), and using such compensation layers to improve the viewing angle characteristics of the display (see paragraphs 0007, 0008).

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multilayer compensation film of Winker with the teachings of using such C-plate having nx = ny and having an out of-plane birefringence as taught by Johnson, since the skilled in the art would be motivated for improving the viewing angle characteristics of the display (see paragraphs 0007, 0008).

Winker and Johnson teach the invention set forth above except for that the layer Z (C-plate) having a polymer with glass transition temperature above 180°C.

Yu teaches (paragraph 0102) that the retardation film (compensation film) is prepared from polymer at a temperature above the glass transition temperature, and the polymer should preferably be selected such that its glass transition or melting temperature is significantly higher than the operating temperature of the retarder, so as to leave a solid polymer, otherwise it would be melted; and such method and suitable material are known to those skilled in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multilayer compensation film of Winker and Johnson with the teachings of the polymer applied at a temperature above its glass transition temperature as taught by Yu, since the skilled in the art would be motivated for leave a solid polymer (see paragraph 0102).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Winker teaches (Fig.1) that the tilt angle θ of the optic axis with respect to the x-y plane changes in the thickness direction, and the azimuthal angle φ of the optic aixs would be constant (fixed) in the thickness direction.

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 8, Winker teaches (Figs.2 and 8) that the layer X (O-plate) and the layer Z (C-plate) are disposed in a substrate (such as substrate 238).

Regarding claims 12-13,17 and 20, the compensation film having function for the molecular alignment that is the property of the compensation film, so that the Z layer (C-plate) functions as alignment layers, and X layer (O-plate) functions as alignment layers; such that the alignment layers disposed within the compensation film and also the alignment layer functions as barrier layer as protection of the liquid crystal (see Fig.8).

Regarding claims 27, 29 and 35, Winker teaches (col.4, lines 3-15, col.5, lines 3-25; Fig.2) that a display comprises a liquid crystal cell (226), at least one polarizing element (222), and at least one optical compensation film (250); and the liquid crystal cell (226) is Twisted Nematic mode cell; and the substrate (238) is glass.

Regarding claims 21-26, 31 and 36, Winker, Johnson and Yu teach the invention set forth above except for the Z layer (C-plate) comprising a polymer having a certain thickness, and the material of the polymer such as using carbonate; and the material of the substrate such as using triacetylcellulose (TAC).

Johnson further teaches that the polymeric optical film can have a thickness such as 10 to $50\mu m$, 15 to $40\mu m$, 15 to $20\mu m$ and 1 to $5\mu m$ (see paragraphs 0054- 0056), and the out-of-plane (C-plate) (layer Z) having thickness such as $10\mu m$ (see paragraph 0011); and according to the birefringence, the skilled in the art would be able to find a reasonable thickness for the Z layer; and the material of the polymer such as using polycarbonate (see paragraph 0045); and the TAC film are often used as the substrate as using the TAC film to provide an adequate level of adhesion (see paragraph 0097).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,746 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multilayer compensation film of Winker, Johnson and Yu with the teachings of the compensation film having certain thin thickness and using polymer to form the Z layer and using TAC as substrate as taught by Johnson, since the skilled in he art would be motivated for forming such optical compensation layers so as to improve the viewing angle characteristics of the display (paragraphs 0007-0008) and obtaining an adequate level of adhesion (paragraph 0097).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on August 29, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references cannot be combined as no motivation, it is respectfully pointed out that Johnson teaches any polymeric material capable possessing the optical properties as optical film (compensation film) and also forming a non-crystalline (amorphous polymer) (see paragraphs 0043-0047), and using such compensation layers to improve the viewing angle characteristics of the display (see paragraphs 0007, 0008), and using C-plate would satisfy the relations set forth above, and overlapping a range as claimed the obviousness would be exist as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,746 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,746 Page 8

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Qi Oct. 10, 2006

> And Release Andrew Schechter Primary Examiner